# Seventeen years of C.E.R.P. baseline

FISH AND ALLON FOR HOLES

S. Geiger, N. Maloney, C. Kirby, M. Mosser & E. Levine FWC Fish & Wildlife Research Institute

Funding provided by South Florida Water Management District CP040614, 4600001084, 4600002548, 4600003152, 4600004040

Also, Dr. M. Parker led the project for ~ 15 years and R. Radigan was a major contributor



### Water Flow in South Florida



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District

## **Oysters as estuarine indicator species**





Reproduction Settlement



### Disease

Density











Table 4 -	Component	score for oyste	rs in the Cal	oosahatchee	Estuary for	r translating	performance	measures into a
stoplight	display							

Component	Parameter value	Parameter value stoplight	Index score	Trend	Trend stoplight	Trend score	Average component score	Component stoplight
Oysters								
Living density (per m <sup>2</sup> )	1029	•	1	±	0	0.5	(1 + 0.5)/2 = 0.75	•
Condition index	2.96	ō	0.5	±	Ö	0.5	(0.5 + 0.5)/2 = 0.5	ō
Gonadal Index	2.61	•	1	±	•	0.5	(1 + 0.5)/2 = 0.75	•
Spat recruitment per shell	6.43	•	0.5	±	•	0.5	(0 + 0.5)/2 = 0.5	<u> </u>
Juvenile growth (mm/month)	2	<u> </u>	0.5	±	<u>.</u>	0.5	(0.5 + 0.5)/2 = 0.5	<u>Ö</u>
Perkinsus marinus prevalence	49.5	<u>_</u>	0.5	-	•	0	(0.5 + 0)/2 = 0.25	•
Perkinsus marinus intensity	0.83	•	1	-	•	0	(1+0)/2 = 0.5	<u>,</u>
Geometric mean of oyster component scores $(0.75 \times 0.5 \times 0.75 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.25 \times 0.5)^{1/7} = 0.508$								
Final Eastern oyster index score	e = 0.5							•



# Eastern oysters (Crassostrea virginica) as an indicator for restoration of Everglades Ecosystems

Aswani K. Volety <sup>a,\*</sup>, Michael Savarese <sup>a</sup>, S. Gregory Tolley <sup>a</sup>, William S. Arnold <sup>b</sup>, Patricia Sime <sup>c</sup>, Patricia Goodman <sup>c</sup>, Robert H. Chamberlain <sup>c</sup>, Peter H. Doering <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Coastal Watershed Institute, Florida Gulf Coast University, 10501 FGCU Boulevard South, Fort Myers, FL 33965, United States
 <sup>b</sup> Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, 100 Eighth Avenue SE, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, United States
 <sup>c</sup> South Florida Water Management District, 3301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, FL 33406, United States

The original stoplight score has now been adjusted so each estuary has its own relative rating scale



## **EVERGLADESRESTORATION.GOV**

LEADERSHIP • PARTNERSHIP • RESULTS

### GENERATION 1 (WRDA 2007):

#### Decomp Physical Model

Indian River Lagoon - South (C-44 Reservoir & STA)
Melaleuca Eradication and Other Exotic Plants
Picayune Strand Restoration
Site 1 Impoundment Project

### **GENERATION 2 (WRRDA 2014):**

Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands-Phase 1
Broward County Water Preserve Areas
C-111 Spreader Canal Western Project
Caloosahatchee River (C-43) West Basin Storage



https://www.evergladesrestoration.gov/comprehensive-everglades-restoration-plan

There are starting to be projects completed that affect water flow.

## Oysters as estuarine indicator species



Long-term monitoring of population responses to changes in water quality resulting from restoration activities

- Oyster Density and Size Frequency
- Reproductive Development
- Monthly Spat Settlement Rates
- Disease (dermo) Prevalence and Intensity
- Growth and survivorship

Currently Funded by SFWMD 2019 – 2023... "+5"



The FWRI program has changed slightly over the years

Benthic Habitat Mapping and Substrate Characterization in the Northern Estuaries, Florida FINAL August 2011 **Prepared for: Prepared by:** Jacksonville District, US Army Corps of Engineers 701 San Marco Boulevard 490 Osceola Avenue Jacksonville, FL 32207-8175



Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250



Mapping is typically done by contracting services



Those maps are added to the FWRI databases



Just one examples. The data set is large enough we can begin more detailed analyses.

Parker, M.L & R. Radigan. 2020. Oyster monitoring in the northern estuaries on the southeast and southwest coasts of Florida. Final Report 2005 – 2018.

Final report to the South Florida Water Management District Grant # 4600003152. 102 pp plus appendices.



One tool is to match conditions like flow and salinity to biological metrics.



Salinity – Flow relationships can be used to estimate when conditions are in the ideal salinity envelope.

St. Lucie Estuary

#### US1 Roosevelt Bridge

		Dry Season	Wet Season		Live	Dead	
Year	Classification	Classification	Classification	Salinity	Density	Ratio	SH
2005	Wet	Wet	Extreme	7.3	9.52	0.89	44.97
2006	Moderate		Dry	17.3	101.55	0.05	30.30
2007	Dry		Dry	18.3	336.15	0.08	47.61
2008	Moderate			15.9	254.67	0.45	37.21
2009	Dry	Extreme		20.2	318.49	0.23	47.09
2010	Wet			14.7	532.09	0.11	55.95
2011	Dry	Extreme	Dry	21.3	536.44	0.11	49.70
2012	Moderate			18.2	426.13	0.32	40.85
2013	Wet		Extreme	14.8	309.60	0.37	34.25
2014	Moderate			17.2	633.64	0.14	32.27
2015	Moderate			16.5	705.42	0.07	42.55
2016	Wet	Wet	Extreme	10.0	471.42	0.10	39.42
2017	Both	Extreme		16.2	<b>599.29</b>	0.05	45.76
2018	Both		Extreme	18.2	96.84	0.65	17.30
2019	Moderate			19.8	648.09	0.05	39.37
2020	Wet		Extreme	12.0	560.00	0.13	41.05
2021	Moderate			16.1	206.71	0.12	45.94

Furthermore we can use the observed biological data to guide flow into the estuary

We now have enough data to start looking at meaningful trends. Are we seeing meaningful or detectable changes related to management practices (or climate)?





Finally, what do we do if our fixed station are failing?